DOI: 10.1111/epi.17270

LETTER



Epilepsia

Deep brain stimulation targets in epilepsy: Systematic review and meta-analysis of anterior and centromedian thalamic nuclei and hippocampus

To the Editor,

We read with great interest the systematic review and meta-analysis by Vetkas et al.¹ The authors demonstrated different trends of response with different targets of deep brain stimulation (DBS) among patients with drug-resistant epilepsy (DRE). While this study provides important clinical insights about DBS use, we have identified a few concerning biases in the study.

The meta-analysis included some studies with a low number of patients, as low as three patients. Sterne et al. described the trend of the smaller studies in a metaanalysis to show more positive and favorable treatment effects. Such an effect can also contribute to publication bias in the study.² To avoid such a problem, the authors might consider using sensitivity analysis to see the true effect of these small studies.

The authors have used The International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) to publish their protocol (CRD42021268339). They stated that the Cochrane risk of bias tool would be used.³ However, the authors did not actually use this tool and only used funnel plots tool for evaluation of publication bias. This resulted in less than optimal assessment of risk of bias. In addition, the descripency between the published protocol and what was finally reported in the manuscript increases the risk of reporting bias. It's also worth mentioning that even if the authors used Cochrane risk of bias tool, this would have only covered the evaluation of randomized clinical trials; other tools should be used for evaluation of other study designs.⁴

Studies may frequently get reported in more than one publication. However, the unit of interest is the study and not the report.⁵ Thus information from multiple reports needs to be collated as including the same study more than once in the meta-analysis can introduce substantial biases.⁶ We noticed that the patients from Stimulation of the Anterior Nucleus of the Thalamus for Epilepsy (SANTE) trial⁷ were included three times in the meta-analysis from two Salanova et al. follow-up studies.^{8,9} The second and third reports include 91% and 90%, respectively, of the patients in the original report. The weight of the three aforementioned studies in the anterior thalamic nucleus group meta-analysis was 62.6% and 21.7% collectively using the fixed and random-effect models, respectively. A study by Bom and Rachinger showed that the rate of false positives is potentially very large for plausible amounts of sample overlap, which might explain the high seizure-reduction rates among anterior thalamic nucleus patients.¹⁰

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Neither of the authors has any conflict of interest to disclose. We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

> Leen M. Al-Kraimeen¹ Obada Ababneh¹ Ahmed Yassin²

¹Faculty of Medicine, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan
²Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan

Correspondence

Ahmed Yassin, Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid 22110, Jordan. Email: amyassin@just.edu.jo

ORCID

Leen M. Al-Kraimeen b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0875-4443 Obada Ababneh b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7487-9095 Ahmed Yassin b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3175-0408

^{© 2022} International League Against Epilepsy

Epilepsia

REFERENCES

- Vetkas A, Fomenko A, Germann J, Sarica C, Iorio-Morin C, Samuel N, et al. Deep brain stimulation targets in epilepsy: systematic review and meta-analysis of anterior and centromedian thalamic nuclei and hippocampus. Epilepsia. 2022;63(3):513–24.
- 2. Sterne JAC, Gavaghan D, Egger M. Publication and related bias in meta-analysis: power of statistical tests and prevalence in the literature. J Clin Epidemiol. 2000;53(11):1119–29.
- 3. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in a randomized trial | Cochrane Training [Internet]. [cited 2022 Apr 6]. Available from: https:// training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-08
- 4. Ma LL, Wang YY, Yang ZH, Huang D, Weng H, Zeng XT. Methodological quality (risk of bias) assessment tools for primary and secondary medical studies: what are they and which is better? Mil Med Res. 2020;7(1):7.
- Chapter 4: Searching for and selecting studies | Cochrane Training [Internet]. [cited 2022 Apr 6]. Available from: https:// training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-04

- Tramèr MR, Reynolds DJM, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. Impact of covert duplicate publication on meta-analysis: a case study. BMJ. 1997;315(7109):635–40.
- Fisher R, Salanova V, Witt T, Worth R, Henry T, Gross R, et al. Electrical stimulation of the anterior nucleus of thalamus for treatment of refractory epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2010;51(5):899–908.
- Salanova V, Witt T, Worth R, Henry TR, Gross RE, Nazzaro JM, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of thalamic stimulation for drug-resistant partial epilepsy. Neurology. 2015;84(10): 1017–25.
- Salanova V, Sperling MR, Gross RE, Irwin CP, Vollhaber JA, Giftakis JE, et al. The SANTÉ study at 10 years of follow-up: Effectiveness, safety, and sudden unexpected death in epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2021;62(6):1306–17.
- Bom PRD, Rachinger H. A generalized-weights solution to sample overlap in meta-analysis. Res Synth Methods. 2020;11(6):812-32.

DOI: 10.1111/epi.17272

LETTER



Epilepsia

Response: Deep brain stimulation targets in epilepsy: Systematic review and meta-analysis of anterior and centromedian thalamic nuclei and hippocampus

To the Editors:

We read the letter by Al-Kraimeen et al.¹ in detail. We agree on the drawbacks imposed by performing a meta-analysis in a heterogenous subset of studies, as was described in our limitations and bias assessment sections in detail. Studies with multiple longitudinal reports did not allow for a reliable identification of unique patients. Additionally, longitudinal studies were included in the analysis to reflect on the possibility of improved seizure response after prolonged deep brain stimulation (DBS) for epilepsy. Performing the meta-analysis of the DBS of the anterior thalamic nucleus after excluding the two follow-up studies by Fisher et al.² did not significantly affect the percentage of seizure reduction on a group level (60.8% vs. 59.4%). Thus, we chose to report all included studies. The use of DBS for epilepsy has gained US Food and Drug Administration approval after the study of Fisher et al.² and their longitudinal follow-up provides additional evidence in support of the treatment. Further research is required to assess the efficacy of neuromodulation in different types of seizures, and the efficacy of stimulation in less studied targets.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None of the authors has any conflict of interest to disclose. We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

> Artur Vetkas^{1,2} Anton Fomenko^{1,3} Jürgen Germann¹ Can Sarica¹ Christian Iorio-Morin⁴ Nardin Samuel¹ Kazuaki Yamamoto¹ Vanessa Milano¹

Cletus Cheyuo¹ Ajmal Zemmar⁵ Gavin Elias¹ Alexandre Boutet^{1,6} Aaron Loh¹ Brendan Santyr^{1,7} Dave Gwun¹ Jordy Tasserie¹ Suneil K. Kalia^{1,8} Andres M. Lozano^{1,8}

¹Division of Neurosurgery, Toronto Western Hospital, University Health Network, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada ²Department of Neurosurgery, Tartu University Hospital, Neurology Clinic, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia ³Section of Neurosurgery, Health Sciences Centre, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba,

Canada ⁴Division of Neurosurgery, Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke, University of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada

 ⁵Department of Neurosurgery, School of Medicine, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, USA
 ⁶Joint Department of Medical Imaging, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
 ⁷Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada
 ⁸Krembil Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence

Artur Vetkas and Andres M. Lozano, Division of Neurosurgery, Toronto Western Hospital, University Health Network, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

[Correction added on 20 May 2022,, after first online publication: The title has been changed to add: "Response:".] © 2022 International League Against Epilepsy

Email: Artur.Vetkas@gmail.com; Andres.Lozano@uhnresearch.ca

ORCID

Artur Vetkas https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4487-432X Anton Fomenko https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4131-6784 Jürgen Germann https://orcid. org/0000-0003-0995-8226

Can Sarica https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8419-7426

REFERENCES

- 1. Salanova V, Sperling MR, Gross RE, Irwin CP, Vollhaber JA, Giftakis JE, et al. The SANTÉ study at 10 years offollow-up: Effectiveness, safety, and sudden unexpected death in epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2021.
- 2. Fisher R, Salanova V, Witt T, Worth R, Henry T, Gross R, et al. Electrical stimulation of the anterior nucleus of thalamus for treatment of refractory epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2010;51(5):899–908.