


## Q1 Did this educational course meet your expectations?


Answered: 213 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
exceeded my expectations	12.21%	26
fulfilled my expectations	55.40%	118
partially fulfilled my expectations	30.05%	64
did not fulfill my expectations	2.35%	5
TOTAL		213

## Q2 How relevant was this course for your professional activities?


Answered: 213 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
extremely relevant	67.61%	144
somewhat relevant	32.39%	69
not relevant	0.00%	0
TOTAL		213

### Q3 Did you gain new knowledge on top of your previous knowledge?


Answered: 213 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I gained a great deal of new knowledge	35.21%	75
I gained a moderate amount of new knowledge	59.62%	127
I did not gain any new knowledge	5.16%	11
TOTAL		213

## Q4 Was the educational course interactive enough?


Answered: 213 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very interactive	34.74%	74
Moderately interactive	56.34%	120
Not interactive at all	8.92%	19
TOTAL		213

## Q5 How would you rate the format/location of the course?

Answered: 213 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	38.50%	82
Satisfactory	53.52%	114
Unsatisfactory	7.98%	17
TOTAL		213

# ILAE-EMR Webinar - 28 Aug 2020 How to Read EEG - and - EEG Normal Variants

#	IF UNSATISFACTORY, PLEASE COMMENT	DATE
1	Sound was bad (a lot of background noise) so it was difficult to follow	8/31/2020 2:30 AM
2	sound	8/31/2020 2:24 AM
3	Good overview of benign variants on EEG. A lot of information in a short time. Shame about the sound quality but I was happy with the content and quality of the speakers	8/30/2020 10:47 AM
4	Poor content Poor audio No updated information Poor language for communication	8/29/2020 11:14 PM
5	Virtual thing never satisfying	8/29/2020 2:32 PM
6	Second presentation was a technical failure	8/29/2020 1:24 PM
7	the voice was very bad	8/29/2020 1:06 PM
8	Second weiner was the voice no clear	8/29/2020 12:46 PM
9	The voice quality was not clear for the first speaker .	8/29/2020 12:26 PM
10	There was a technical problem of the voice in the 1st lecture which caused delay and difficulty hearing the lecture in the 2nd part of the Webinar	8/29/2020 12:15 PM
11	It would have been better if it was not online course because we would have been able to see the slides and listen the sound clearer	8/29/2020 12:09 PM
12	We need quizzes	8/29/2020 11:54 AM
13	None	8/28/2020 1:47 PM
14	My level in English is modest, the training was not beneficial to me as if it had benn in Franch.	8/28/2020 1:46 PM
15	could not hear well the second presentation	8/28/2020 1:39 PM
16	Sound bad	8/28/2020 1:38 PM
17	The quality of audio was very poor - couldn't hear the presenter clearly with interruption of connection. The recorded presentation had a better audio quality	8/28/2020 1:37 PM
18	The sound of one of the speakers was terrible, hardly could hear something	8/28/2020 1:36 PM
19	Sound was not audible	8/28/2020 1:36 PM
20	voice was not clear	8/28/2020 1:34 PM
21	Poor audio	8/28/2020 1:30 PM
22	The speaker did not keep the audio level optimum,	8/28/2020 1:29 PM
23	some audio issues from speaker	8/28/2020 1:28 PM
24	Excellent apart from the poor voice quality	8/28/2020 1:27 PM
25	The format is good. Shame about the sound quality. Still, very helpful to see so many EEGs with examples.	8/28/2020 1:20 PM
26	audio problem with Dr. Hela's talk	8/28/2020 1:05 PM

## Q6 Was the content of the educational activity in agreement with the advertisement?

Answered: 213 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	83.57%	178
Partially	15.96%	34
No	0.47%	1
TOTAL		213

#	IF PARTIALLY OR NO, PLEASE COMMENT	DATE
1	Yes, the Q and A bit at the end is also useful	8/30/2020 10:47 AM
2	Not updated	8/29/2020 11:14 PM
3	Need to use more practical EEG tracings for the teaching	8/29/2020 3:28 PM
4	- I expected larger volume of content. - The resolution of slides was not enough clear as the participants need to differentiate between different graph element exposed	8/28/2020 1:44 PM
5	in my opinion the presentations were a bit short, they were very good but it they had included more information, including basics of eeg, description of terms etc, it would have been better	8/28/2020 1:39 PM
6	fue imposible seguir el ritmo. El sonido de la charla fue muy malo, con muchos cortes y se deslució la actividad	8/28/2020 1:35 PM
7	The speaker did not speak clearly.	8/28/2020 1:29 PM

## Q7 Which of the following describes you best

Answered: 213 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Adult Neurologist	39.91%	85
Paediatric Neurologist	31.92%	68
Paediatrician	6.10%	13
Clinical Neurophysiologist	13.15%	28
Radiologist	0.00%	0
Pathologist	0.00%	0
Neurosurgeon	3.29%	7
Neuropsychologist	2.35%	5
Basic scientist	3.29%	7
TOTAL		213



#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Research Scholar	9/2/2020 12:39 AM
2	Medecin résident en Neurologie	9/1/2020 9:07 AM
3	Résident	8/30/2020 5:17 AM
4	Epileptologist	8/30/2020 12:22 AM
5	Medical Student	8/29/2020 12:15 PM
6	Resident	8/28/2020 2:57 PM
7	Neuroscientist	8/28/2020 1:46 PM
8	EEG Tech	8/28/2020 1:36 PM
9	Neurophysiology Technician	8/28/2020 1:36 PM
10	Electrophysiology	8/28/2020 1:34 PM
11	Student	8/28/2020 1:29 PM
12	veterinary neurologist	8/28/2020 1:28 PM
13	Neuro technician	8/28/2020 1:13 PM
14	Medical Student	8/28/2020 12:22 PM

## Q8 Your age (optional)


Answered: 160 Skipped: 53



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Under 30	20.63%	33
30 - 40	30.00%	48
40 - 50	33.13%	53
50 - 60	13.13%	21
Over 60	3.13%	5
TOTAL		160

## Q9 In which region are you currently working?

Answered: 212 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Africa	20.28%	43
Asia / Oceania	31.60%	67
Eastern Mediterranean	19.81%	42
Europe	19.34%	41
Latin America	8.02%	17
North America	0.94%	2
TOTAL		212